

Comments supporting the plan:

- Addressing Signal Hills is important – “kicked down the road long enough” – could be a big tax generator
- The idea of form based zoning
- The concept of A & B streets with redevelopment.
- The concept of “mid-density housing” in the north gateway
- The redevelopment signal hills as shown in Alternative 1
- A safer at grade crossing of Robert for the trail.
- Support of YMCA presence in WSP
- Plan provides a good blueprint for where WSP can go if and when parcels become available - need to establish a “road map”
- The addition of residential development along Robert St.
- The plan is visionary and looks ahead which I believe is a good thing for WSP.
- Signal Hill’s owners have invested millions upgrading other metro properties and have left us with this degrading, disjointed and unattractive mess.
- I support the plan in its entirety.
- I support the plan. This is WSP’s plan and not a developer’s plan.
- The plan is merely a guide for where we would like WSP go in the future; it’s not static nor should it be.
- The voices of WSP residents should be the loudest and not overridden by developers desires to make a quick buck and leave town.
- Supports the vision and we can aspire to be a more attractive city.

Comments not supporting the plan:

- I feel like the plan has been manipulated by Cuningham and the City Manager to show some kind of urban utopia. Vision needs to be market based.
- How do we know if Millenials will want to live on Robert St. – Uptown, North Loop, Grand Ave, etc. are more desirable.
- Focus should be on supporting retail/services/restaurants that can support adjacent communities.
- Creative thought is wonderful, but the vision needs to be viable – needs to market driven.
- Cannot support restrictive zoning for the 3 concept plans
- Cannot support the trail tunnel – would utilize valuable/taxable land.
- Cannot support “forced redevelopment” at the owners expense based on the city’s vision and not the owners (i.e. Signal Hills)
- Getting Signal Hills right in the plan is important for all parties including Signal Hills ownership. Need to account for all current business owners at Signal Hills.
- In regard to the Signal Hills full build out concept: plan is not realistic or market driven. Signal Hills is a neighborhood shopping center serving the needs of the neighborhood. Cannot support any zoning changes that might restrict the right of Signal Hills to continue as a retail shopping center.
- Cannot support the plan due to significant concerns regarding the viability of the plan using general principles based on private sector, market driven conditions as a basis for economic development activity.
- Concerned about how existing businesses will view the plan.